Robust Empirical Bayes Confidence Intervals

Michal Kolesár

September 02, 2021

The package ebci implements robust empirical Bayes confidence intervals (EBCIs) proposed by Armstrong et al. [2020] for inference in a normal means model $Y_i \sim N(\theta_i, \sigma_i^2), i = 1, ..., n$.

Setup

Suppose we use an empirical Bayes estimator of θ_i that shrinks toward the predictor based on the regression of θ_i onto X_i (equivalently, regression of Y_i onto X_i),

$$\hat{\theta}_i = X'_i \delta + w_{EB,i} (Y_i - X'_i \delta), \tag{1}$$

where $\delta = E[X_i X'_i]^{-1} E[X_i \theta_i]$, $w_{EB,i} = \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_2 + \sigma_i^2}$ is the degree of shrinkage, and

$$\mu_2 = E[(\theta_i - X'_i \delta)^2 \mid X_i, \sigma_i].$$
⁽²⁾

is the second moment of the regression residual. We assume that μ_2 doesn't depend on σ_i . Under this setup, Morris [1983] proposes to use the *parametric EBCI*

$$\hat{ heta}_i \pm rac{z_{1-lpha/2}}{\sqrt{w_{EB,i}}} w_{EB,i} \sigma_i.$$

The critical value $z_{1-\alpha/2}/\sqrt{w_{EB,i}}$ is larger than the usual critical value $z_{1-\alpha/2} = qnorm(1-alpha/2)$ if the estimator was unbiased conditional on θ_i . This CI is justified if we strengthen the assumption (2) by making the normality assumption $\theta_i | X_i, \sigma_i \sim N(X'_i\delta, \mu_2)$. However, if the residual $\theta_i - X'_i\delta$ is not normally distributed, this CI will undercover. Armstrong et al. [2020] derive a *robust EBCI* that is only uses (2) and not the normality assumption. The EBCI takes the form

$$\hat{\theta}_i \pm cva_{\alpha}(m_{2i}, \infty) w_{EB,i}\sigma_i, \ m_{2i} = (1 - 1/w_{EB,i})^2 \mu_2 / \sigma_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 / \mu_2, \tag{3}$$

where the critical value cva_{α} is derived in Armstrong et al. [2020]. Here m_{2i} is the second moment of the conditional bias of $\hat{\theta}_i$, scaled by the standard error (normalized bias, henceforth). This critical value imposes a constraint (2) on the second moment of θ_i , but no constraints on higher moments. We can make the critical value smaller by also imposing a constraint on the kurtosis of θ_i (or equivalently, the kurtosis of the normalized bias)

$$\kappa = E[(\theta_i - X'_i \delta)^4 \mid X_i, \sigma_i] / \mu_2^2.$$
(4)

In analogy to (2), we assume here that the conditional fourth moment of $\theta_i - X'_i \delta$ doesn't depend on (X_i, σ_i) . In this case, the robust EBCI takes the form

$$\hat{\theta}_i \pm cva_{\alpha}(m_{2i},\kappa)w_{EB,i}\sigma_i.$$

These critical values are implemented in the package by the cva function:

```
library("ebci")
## If m_2=0, then we get the usual critical value
cva(m2=0, kappa=Inf, alpha=0.05)$cv
#> [1] 1.959964
## Otherwise the critical value is larger:
cva(m2=4, kappa=Inf, alpha=0.05)$cv
#> [1] 7.216351
## Imposing a constraint on kurtosis tightens it
cva(m2=4, kappa=3, alpha=0.05)$cv
#> [1] 4.619513
```

In practice, the parameters δ , μ_2 , and κ are unknown. To implement the EBCI, the package replaces them with consistent estimates, following the baseline implementation in Armstrong et al. [2020]. We illustrate this in the next section.

Example

Here we illustrate the use of the package using a dataset from Chetty and Hendren [2018] (CH hereafter). The dataset is included in the package as the list cz. Run ?cz for a full description of the dataset. As in Chetty and Hendren [2018], we use precision weights proportional to the inverse of the squared standard error to compute (δ , μ_2 , κ).

For shrinkage toward the grand mean, or toward zero, use the specification theta25 ~ 1, and theta25 ~ 0, respectively, in the formula argument of ebci.

The return value contains (see ?ebci for full description)

1. The least squares estimate of δ :

```
r$delta
#> (Intercept) stayer25
#> -1.44075193 0.03244676
```

2. Estimates of μ_2 and κ . The estimate used for EBCI calculations (estimate) is obtained by applying a finite-sample correction to an initial method of moments estimate (uncorrected_estimate). This correction ensures that we don't shrink all the way to zero (or

past zero) if the method-of-moments estimate of μ_2 is negative (see Armstrong et al. [2020] for details):

```
c(r$mu2, r$kappa)
#> estimate uncorrected_estimate estimate
#> 6.243867e-03 6.243867e-03 7.785337e+02
#> uncorrected_estimate
#> 3.453191e+02
```

- 3. The parameter α determining the confidence level, r\$alpha, and the matrix of regressors, r\$X.
- 4. A data frame with columns:

```
names(r$df)
#> [1] "w_eb" "w_opt" "ncov_pa" "len_eb" "len_op" "len_pa"
#> [7] "len_us" "th_us" "th_eb" "th_op" "se" "weights"
#> [13] "residuals"
```

The columns of the data frame refer to:

- w_eb Empirical Bayes shrinkage factor $w_{EB,i} = \mu_2/(\mu_2 + \sigma_i^2)$.
- th_eb Empirical Bayes estimator $\hat{\theta}_i$ given in (1)
- len_eb Half-length $cva_{\alpha}(m_2, \kappa)w_i\sigma_i$ of the robust EBCI, so that the lower endpoint of the EBCIs are given by th_eb-len_eb, and the upper endpoint by th_eb+len_eb. Let us verify this for the first observation:

```
cva(r$df$se[1]^2/r$mu2[1], r$kappa[1], alpha=0.1)$cv*r$df$w_eb[1]*r$df$se[1]
#> [1] 0.1916245
r$df$len_eb[1]
#> [1] 0.1916245
```

- len_pa Half-length $z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{w_i}\sigma_i$ of the parametric EBCI.
- w_opt Shrinkage factor that optimizes the length of the resulting confidence interval. In other words, instead of using $w_{EB,i}$ in (3), we use shrinkage w_i that minimizes $cva_{\alpha}((1 1/w_{EB,i})^2\mu_2/\sigma_i^2,\kappa)w_i\sigma_i$. See Armstrong et al. [2020] for details. The vector is missing here since the default option, wopt=FALSE, is to skip computation of the length-optimal CIs to speed up the calculations.
- th_op Estimator based on the length-optimal shrinkage factor w_opt (missing here since the default is wopt=FALSE)
- len_op Half-length $cva_{\alpha}((1 1/w_{EB,i})^2\mu_2/\sigma_i^2,\kappa)w_i\sigma_i$ of the length-optimal EBCI (missing here since we specified wopt=FALSE).
- th_us The unshrunk estimate Y_i, as specified in the formula argument of the function ebci.
- len_us Half-length $z_{1-\alpha/2}\sigma_i$ of the CI based on the unshrunk estimate
- se The standard error σ_i , as specified by the argument se of the ebci function.
- ncov_pa maximal non-coverage of the parametric EBCI.

Using the data frame, we can give a table summarizing the results. Let us show the results for the CZ in New York:

CZ	unshrunk_estimate	estimate	lower_ci	upper_ci
Syracuse	0.246	0.032	-0.124	0.189
Oneonta	0.835	0.112	-0.091	0.315
Union	-0.493	-0.014	-0.201	0.173
Buffalo	0.084	-0.003	-0.131	0.125
Elmira	0.056	0.056	-0.143	0.255
Olean	-0.024	0.080	-0.114	0.273
Watertown	0.537	0.098	-0.111	0.307
Plattsburgh	0.585	0.056	-0.153	0.266
Amsterdam	0.578	0.074	-0.134	0.282
Albany	-0.199	-0.015	-0.179	0.148
Poughkeepsie	-0.333	-0.099	-0.233	0.035
New York	-0.148	-0.116	-0.180	-0.053

Armstrong et al. [2020] present the same information as a figure.

Finally, let us compute some summary statistics as in Table 3 in Armstrong et al. [2020]. Average half-length of the robust, parametric, and unshrunk CI:

```
mean(r$df$len_eb)
#> [1] 0.1952409
mean(r$df$len_pa)
#> [1] 0.1234121
mean(r$df$len_us)
#> [1] 0.7858001
```

The efficiency of the parametric and unshrunk CI relative to the robust EBCI is given by

```
mean(r$df$len_eb)/mean(r$df$len_pa)
#> [1] 1.582023
mean(r$df$len_eb)/mean(r$df$len_us)
#> [1] 0.2484613
```

While the parametric EBCI is shorter on average, it yields CIs that may violate the 90% coverage requirement. In particular, the average maximal non-coverage probability at the estimated value of (μ_2, κ) is given by

References

- Tim Armstrong, Michal Kolesár, and Mikkel Plagborg-Møller. Robust empirical Bayes confidence intervals. ArXiv: 2004.03448, April 2020. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.01200.
- Raj Chetty and Nathaniel Hendren. The impacts of neighborhoods on intergenerational mobility II: County-level estimates. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 133(3):1163–1228, August 2018. doi: 10.1093/qje/qjy006.
- Carl N. Morris. Parametric empirical Bayes inference: Theory and applications. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 78(381):47–55, March 1983. doi: 10.1080/01621459.1983.10477920.